

SEC-11-01-S  
State CIO Adopted: June 8, 2023  
TSB Approved: June 8, 2023  
Sunset Review: June 8, 2026

**WaTech**  
Washington Technology Solutions  
**INFORMATION SECURITY**

**Replaces:**  
IT Security Standard 141.10 (1.2.1)  
December 11, 2017

**RISK ASSESSMENT STANDARD**

**See Also:**

RCW [43.105.450](#) Office of Cybersecurity  
RCW [43.105.205](#) (3) Higher Ed

RCW [43.105.054](#) OCIO Governance  
RCW [43.105.020](#) (22) "State Agency"

**1. Agencies must conduct [risk assessments](#) at critical points:**

- a. Prior to the acquisition of an [information system](#), [cloud service](#), or managed service which will store, process, or transmit category 3 or category 4 data.
- b. When an existing agency-controlled information system undergoes a significant change in technology or use. Examples include significant software upgrades, changes in hosting platforms or [vendors](#), or changes in the data categorization or volume of records stored, processed, or transmitted by the system.
- c. At least once every three years for all agency-controlled information systems that store, process, or transmit category 3 or category 4 data.
- d. Annually for information systems the agency deems to be business essential.
- e. Prior to the sharing of category 3 or category 4 data as with agencies and/or vendors. See the [Data Sharing Policy](#) and [Data Classification Standard](#) for details.
- f. When a security patch is not applied.

**2. Agencies must prepare for the risk assessment by identifying the purpose, scope, assumptions and constraints, [threat intelligence](#) sources, and risk model and analytic approach.**

- a. Identify Purpose: Agencies must identify how it will use the risk assessment and the information needed to achieve that goal.
- b. Identify Scope: Agencies must identify the scope in terms of the technology/systems to be assessed, the categorization of data processed by those systems, and the risk owners for those systems.
- c. Identify Assumptions and Constraints: Agencies must identify the specific assumptions and constraints under which the risk assessment is conducted.
- d. Identify Threat Intelligence Sources: Agencies must identify the sources of descriptive, threat, [vulnerability](#), and impact information to be used in the

assessment. WaTech risk management resources that are designated for this purpose satisfy this standard.

- e. Identify Risk Model and Analytic Approach: The methodology described in this standard provides agencies with a baseline risk assessment approach. Agencies must identify any supplemental risk models and/or analytic approaches appropriate to the risk assessment goals.

**3. Agencies must conduct risk assessments to identify threat sources, threat events, likelihood, impact, and risk.**

- a. Identify Threat Sources: Agencies must identify and characterize threat sources of concern to assets within the scope of the assessment; including capability, intent, and targeting characteristics for adversarial threats and range of effect for non-adversarial threats. The Vulnerability Management Standard includes threat intelligence requirements.
- b. Identify Threat Events: Agencies must identify actions that threat sources may initiate exploit vulnerabilities. The Vulnerability Management Standard includes requirements for threat event identification. This includes vulnerabilities identified by vendors or those discovered using hardware/software vulnerability scans.
- c. Determine Likelihood: Agencies must estimate the likelihood that threat events of concern result in adverse impacts, considering:
  - i. The characteristics of the threat sources that could initiate the events.
  - ii. The vulnerabilities identified.
  - iii. The organizational susceptibility reflecting the safeguards/countermeasures planned or implemented to impede such events. Likelihood can be expressed either qualitatively, quantitatively, or semi-qualitatively depending on agency needs.
  - iv. Agencies must base their qualitative likelihood criteria on the below likelihood scale:

Likelihood Rating	Likelihood Measurement	Chance of the Risk Occurrence Within a Year
High	5	Greater than 80%
Moderately High	4	Greater than 60% and less than/equal to 80%
Moderate	3	Greater than 40% and less than/equal to 60%
Moderately Low	2	Greater than 20% and less than/equal to 40%
Low	1	Less than/equal to 20%

d. Determine Impact: Agencies must determine the adverse impacts from the threat events of concern, considering:

- i. The characteristics of the [threat sources](#) that could initiate the events.
- ii. The vulnerabilities identified.
- iii. The organizational susceptibility reflecting the safeguards/countermeasures planned or implemented to impede such events.
- iv. Agencies must base their qualitative impact criteria on the below impact scale:

Impact Rating	Impact Measurement
High	5
Moderately High	4
Moderate	3
Moderately Low	2
Low	1

e. Determine Risk: Agencies must identify the risks posed by threat actors attacking vulnerabilities within the assessment scope.

- i. [Inherent risk](#) is calculated as follows:

$$\text{Impact} * \text{Likelihood (1-5)} = \text{Inherent Risk}$$

- ii. Agency must rank their qualitative risk ratings on the scale below:

Inherent Risk		Likelihood				
		High (5)	Moderately High (4)	Moderate (3)	Moderately Low (2)	Low (1)
Impact	High (5)	25	20	15	10	5
	Moderately High (4)	20	16	12	8	4
	Moderate (3)	15	12	9	6	3
	Moderately Low (2)	10	8	6	4	2
Low (1)		5	4	3	2	1

**4. Agencies must communicate and share risk assessment results.**

- a. Agencies must communicate and share risk assessment results to appropriate

agency decision makers and interested parties to support risk response.

- b. Agencies must share risk-related information produced during the risk assessment with appropriate organizational personnel.
- c. Agencies are encouraged to consult with WaTech regarding any project to determine whether a security design review and risk assessment is recommended.

## REFERENCES

1. [Risk Management Framework for Information Systems and Organizations \(RMF\)](#).
2. [National Institute of Standards and Technology \(NIST\) Special Publication 800-30, Revision 1, Guide for Conducting Risk Assessments](#).
3. [NIST SP 800-39](#).
4. [CIS Critical Security Controls \(cisecurity.org\)](#).
5. [Risk Management Policy](#).
6. [Data Classification Standard](#).
7. [Data Sharing Policy](#).
8. [Security Assessment and Authorization Policy](#).
9. [Vulnerability Management Standard](#).
10. [Definition of Terms Used in WaTech Policies and Reports](#).
11. NIST Cybersecurity Framework Mapping:
  - Identify.Asset Management-5: Resources are prioritized based on their classification, criticality, and business value.
  - Identify.Risk Assessment-5: Threats, vulnerabilities, likelihoods, and impacts are used to determine risk.

## CONTACT INFORMATION

- For questions about this policy, please contact the [WaTech Policy Mailbox](#).
- To request a security design review, please contact the [Security Design Review mailbox](#).